



COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO  
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING  
STAFF REPORT

SUBDIVISION REVIEW BOARD

1-1

Promoting the wise use of land  
Helping build great communities

MEETING DATE May 2, 2005	CONTACT/PHONE Josh LeBombard 805-781-1431	APPLICANT Raymond Barker	FILE NO. COAL 04-513 SUB2004-00124
<b>SUBJECT</b> Request by Raymond Barker for a Lot Line Adjustment to adjust the lot lines between 3 parcels of 16.86, 0.18, and 0.16 acres each. The adjustment will result in 3 parcels of 16.74, 0.36, 0.10 acres each. The project will not result in the creation of any additional parcels. The proposed project is within the Residential Suburban land use category and is located at 652 11 <sup>th</sup> Street in the community of San Miguel. The site is in the Salinas River planning area.			
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTION</b> 1. Adopt the Negative Declaration in accordance with the applicable provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq. 2. Approve Lot Line Adjustment COAL 04-513 based on the findings listed in Exhibit A and the conditions listed in Exhibit B			
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION</b> The Environmental Coordinator, after completion of the initial study, finds that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment, and the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report is not necessary. Therefore, a Negative Declaration (pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq., and CA Code of Regulations Section 15000 et seq.) has been issued on March 31, 2005 for this project. Mitigation measures are proposed to address Biological Resources are included as conditions of approval.			
LAND USE CATEGORY Residential Suburban	COMBINING DESIGNATION Flood Hazard	ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER 021-241-019, 021-401-012, 021-401-007	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT(S) 1
PLANNING AREA STANDARDS: None			
LAND USE ORDINANCE STANDARDS: None			
EXISTING USES: Three Single Family Residences			
SURROUNDING LAND USE CATEGORIES AND USES: <i>North:</i> Residential Suburban; residential <i>South:</i> Residential Single Family; residential <i>East:</i> Residential Suburban; undeveloped <i>West:</i> Residential Multi-Family; residential			
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION MAY BE OBTAINED BY CONTACTING THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & BUILDING AT: COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER ♦ SAN LUIS OBISPO ♦ CALIFORNIA 93408 ♦ (805) 781-5600 ♦ FAX: (805) 781-1242			

1-2

OTHER AGENCY / ADVISORY GROUP INVOLVEMENT: The project was referred to: San Miguel Community Advisory Group, Public Works, Environmental Health, Ag Commissioner, County Parks, San Miguel Community Services District, APCD	
TOPOGRAPHY: Nearly level to moderately sloping	VEGETATION: Grasses , riparian
PROPOSED SERVICES: Water supply: Community system Sewage Disposal: Community sewage disposal system Fire Protection: San Miguel	ACCEPTANCE DATE: February 2, 2005

#### ORDINANCE COMPLIANCE:

The applicant is proposing to adjust the lot lines between three legal parcels as follows:

EXISTING LOT SIZES (ACRES)	ADJUSTED PARCEL SIZES (ACRES)
0.16	0.10
0.18	0.36
16.86	16.74

Section 21.02.030 of the Real Property Division Ordinance states that a lot line adjustment shall not be approved or conditionally approved unless the new parcels resulting from the adjustment will maintain a position which is better than, or equal to, the existing situation relative to the county's zoning and building ordinances.

The adjustment will result in the reconfiguration of the three parcels to so that the parcels reflect topography, access and use. The proposed configuration will better match the built and natural environments by providing more useable space for the single family residences on parcels 021-401-012 and 021-401-007 while transferring the riparian habitat of the Salinas River from the smaller parcels to the larger parcel (021-241-019).

#### SB 497

As of January 1, 2002, lot line adjustments are limited to four or fewer existing adjoining parcels. In addition, the new parcels must comply not only with zoning and building regulations, but also with the general plan and any applicable coastal plan. The County's local ordinance allows a determination to be made that the proposed situation is equal to or better than the existing situation. Because the parcel sizes of 021-401-012 and 021-401-007 are below minimum parcel size as set through the General Plan and will remain so after the adjustment and 021-241-019 currently is of adequate size and will remain so after the proposed adjustment, staff has concluded that the adjustment is consistent with both state and local.

#### LEGAL LOT STATUS:

021-401-012 was legally created by certificate of compliance C01-504, 021-401-007 was legally created by deed at a time when that was a legal method of creating lots, and 021-241-019 was legally created by a voluntary merger (M00-341).

1-3

## **FINDINGS - EXHIBIT A**

### *Environmental Determination*

- A. The Environmental Coordinator, after completion of the initial study, finds that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment, and the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report is not necessary. Therefore, a Negative Declaration (pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq., and CA Code of Regulations Section 15000 et seq.) has been issued on April 2, 2005 for this project. Mitigation measures are proposed to address Biological Resources and are included as conditions of approval.

### *Lot Line Adjustment*

- B. The proposed Lot Line Adjustment is consistent with the provisions of Section 21.02.030 of the Real Property Division Ordinance because the proposed adjustment will provide more useable space for 021-401-012 and 021-401-007 while providing more opportunity for preservation of the area consisting of Salinas River riparian habitat by conveying it to the larger parcel 021-241-019.
- C. The proposal will have no adverse effect on adjoining properties, roadways, public improvements, or utilities.
- D. Compliance with the attached conditions will bring the proposed adjustment into conformance with the Subdivision Map Act and Section 21.02.030 of the Real Property Division Ordinance.

1-4

**CONDITIONS - EXHIBIT B**

1. This adjustment may be effectuated by recordation of a parcel map or recordation of certificates of compliance. If a map is filed, it shall show:
  - a. All public utility easements.
  - b. All approved street names.
2. Any private easements described in the title report must be shown on the map, with recording data.
3. When the map is submitted for checking, or when the certificate of compliance is filed for review, provide a preliminary title report to the County Engineer or the Planning Director for review.
4. All conditions of approval herein specified are to be complied with prior to the recordation of the map or certificates of compliance which effectuate the adjustment. Recordation of a map is at the option of the applicant. However, if a map is not filed, recordation of a certificate of compliance is mandatory.
5. The map or certificates of compliance shall be filed with the County Recorder prior to transfer of the adjusted portions of the property or the conveyance of the new parcels.
6. In order to consummate the adjustment of the lot lines to the new configuration when there is multiple ownerships involved, it is required that the parties involved quitclaim their interest in one another new parcels. Any deeds of trust involving the parcels must also be adjusted by recording new trust deeds concurrently with the map or certificates of compliance.
7. If the lot line adjustment is finalized using certificates of compliance, prior to final approval the applicant shall prepay all current and delinquent real property taxes and assessments collected as real property taxes when due prior to final approval.
8. The lot line adjustment will expire two years (24 months) from the date of the approval, unless the map or certificates of compliance effectuating the adjustment is recorded first. Adjustments may be granted a single one year extension of time. The applicant must submit a written request with appropriate fees to the Planning Department prior to the expiration date.
9. All timeframes on completion of lot line adjustments are measured from the date the Review Authority approves the lot line adjustment map, not from any date of possible reconsideration action
10. The overhanging canopy on the single family residence that is currently protruding into the front setback on lot 021-401-007be removed or brought into conformance with the Land Use Ordinance prior to the recordation of the map or certificates of compliance which effectuate the adjustment.

1-5

12. The following notes shall be included on the second sheet of the Final Map; if Certificates of Compliance are the recording instrument instead of a Final Map, the items shall be completed **prior to map recordation or recordation of the Certificates of Compliance**, and the applicant will be required to enter into a mitigation agreement to adhere to the following conditions during future construction.

San Joaquin Kit Fox

- a. **BR-1 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits**, the applicant shall submit evidence to the County of San Luis Obispo, Department of Planning and Building Environmental Resource and Management Division (County) (see contact information below) that states that one or a combination of the following four San Joaquin kit fox mitigation measures has been implemented:
- i. Provide for the protection in perpetuity, through acquisition of fee or a conservation easement, suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area (e.g. within the San Luis Obispo County kit fox habitat area, northwest of Highway 58), either on-site or off-site, and provide for a non-wasting endowment to provide for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity. Lands to be conserved shall be subject to the review and approval of the California Department of Fish and Game (Department) and the County.

This mitigation alternative (a.), requires that all aspects of this program must be in place before County permit issuance or initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

- b. Deposit funds into an approved in-lieu fee program, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area within San Luis Obispo County, and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

Mitigation alternative (b) above, can be completed by providing funds to The Nature Conservancy (TNC), pursuant to the Voluntary Fee-based Compensatory Mitigation Program (Program). The Program was established in agreement between the Department and TNC to preserve San Joaquin kit fox habitat, and to provide a voluntary mitigation alternative to project proponents who must mitigate the impacts of projects in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The fee, payable to "The Nature Conservancy", would be based on the total area of disturbance from project activities multiplied by \$2500 per acre. This fee must be paid after the Department provides written notification identifying your mitigation options but prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

- c. Purchase credits in a Department-approved conservation bank, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat within the kit fox corridor area and

1-6

provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

At this time, there is no approved conservation bank that is operational in San Luis Obispo County. A conservation bank is expected to be operational in the near future. Purchase of credits must be completed prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

d. If none of the above measures (a, b, or c) are available, the applicant may enter into a Mitigation Agreement with the Department, including depositing of funds into an escrow account (or other means of securing funds acceptable to the Department) which would ensure the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat within the kit fox corridor area and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring in perpetuity. The Department can provide a draft agreement to review; a signed Mitigation Agreement shall be submitted to the County prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

e. **BR-2 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits, or conducting any grading associated with map/certificate recordation**, the applicant shall provide evidence to the County that they have retained a qualified biologist acceptable to the County Division of Environmental and Resource Management. The retained biologist shall perform the following monitoring activities:

i. **Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**, the biologist shall conduct a pre-activity (i.e. pre-construction) survey for known or potential kit fox dens and submit a letter to the County reporting the date the survey was conducted, the survey protocol, survey results, and what measures were necessary (and completed), as applicable, to address any kit fox activity within the project limits.

ii. The qualified biologist shall conduct weekly site visits during site-disturbance activities (i.e. grading, diking, excavation, stock piling of dirt or gravel, etc.) that proceed longer than 14 days, for the purpose of monitoring compliance with required Mitigation Measures BR-3 through BR11. Site-disturbance activities lasting up to 14 days do not require weekly monitoring by the biologist unless observations of kit fox or their dens are made on-site or the qualified biologist recommends monitoring for some other reason (see BR-2-c3). When weekly monitoring is required, the biologist shall submit weekly monitoring reports to the County.

iii. **Prior to or during project activities**, if any observations are made of San Joaquin kit fox, or any known or potential San Joaquin kit fox dens are discovered within the project limits, the qualified biologist shall re-assess the probability of incidental take (e.g. harm or death) to kit fox. At the time the den is discovered, the qualified biologist shall contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department for guidance on possible additional kit fox protection measures to implement and whether or not a Federal and/or State incidental take permit is needed. If a potential den is encountered

1-7

during construction, all work shall stop until such time the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Department determine that it is appropriate to resume work.

iv. If incidental take of kit fox during project activities is possible, **before project activities commence**, the applicant must consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department (see contact information below). The results of this consultation may require the applicant to obtain a Federal and/or State permit for incidental take during project activities. The applicant should be aware that the presence of kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens at the project site could result in further delays of project activities.

In addition, the qualified biologist shall implement the following measures:

1. **Within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**, fenced exclusion zones shall be established around all known and potential kit fox dens. Exclusion zone fencing shall consist of either large flagged stakes connected by rope or cord, or survey laths or wooden stakes prominently flagged with survey ribbon. Each exclusion zone shall be roughly circular in configuration with a radius of the following distance measured outward from the den or burrow entrances:

- a) Potential kit fox den: 50 feet
- b) Known kit fox den: 100 feet
- c) Kit fox pupping den: 150 feet

2. All foot and vehicle traffic, as well as all construction activities, including storage of supplies and equipment, shall remain outside of exclusion zones. Exclusion zones shall be maintained until all project-related disturbances have been terminated, and then shall be removed.

3. If kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens are found on site, daily monitoring during ground disturbing activities shall be required by a qualified biologist.

f. **BR-3 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits, or approval of any improvement plans related to map/certificate recordation**, the applicant shall clearly delineate as a note on the project plans, that: "*Speeds signs of 25 mph maximum (or lower) shall be posted for all construction traffic, to minimize the probability of road mortality of the San Joaquin kit fox.*" Speed limit signs shall be installed on the project site **within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**,

g. In addition, **prior to permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities, or any grading associated with map/certificate recordation**, conditions BR-3 through BR-11 of the Developer's Statement/Conditions of Approval shall be clearly delineated on project plans.

1-8

- h. **BR-4 During the site disturbance and/or construction phase**, grading and construction activities after dusk shall be prohibited unless coordinated through the County, during which additional kit fox mitigation measures may be required.
- i. **BR-5 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permit, and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**, all personnel associated with the project shall attend a worker education training program, conducted by a qualified biologist, to avoid or reduce impacts on sensitive biological resources (i.e. San Joaquin kit fox). At a minimum, as the program relates to the kit fox, the training shall include the kit fox's life history, all mitigation measures specified by the county, as well as any related biological report(s) prepared for the project. The applicant shall notify the County shortly prior to this meeting. A kit fox fact sheet shall also be developed prior to the training program, and distributed at the training program to all contractors, employers and other personnel involved with the construction of the project.
- j. **BR-6 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, to prevent entrapment of the San Joaquin kit fox, all excavation, steep-walled holes or trenches in excess of two feet in depth shall be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials, or provided with one or more escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks. Trenches shall also be inspected for entrapped kit fox each morning prior to onset of field activities and immediately prior to covering with plywood at the end of each working day. Before such holes or trenches are filled, they shall be thoroughly inspected for entrapped kit fox. Any kit fox so discovered shall be allowed to escape before field activities resume, or removed from the trench or hole by a qualified biologist and allowed to escape unimpeded.
- k. **BR-7 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, any pipes, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of four inches or greater, stored overnight at the project site shall be thoroughly inspected for trapped San Joaquin kit foxes before the subject pipe is subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way. If during the construction phase a kit fox is discovered inside a pipe, that section of pipe will not be moved, or if necessary, be moved only once to remove it from the path of activity, until the kit fox has escaped.
- l. **BR-8 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, all food-related trash items such as wrappers, cans, bottles, and food scraps generated shall be disposed of in closed containers only and regularly removed from the site. Food items may attract San Joaquin kit foxes onto the project site, consequently exposing such animals to increased risk of injury or mortality. No deliberate feeding of wildlife shall be allowed.
- m. **BR-9 Prior to, during, and after the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, use of pesticides or herbicides shall be in compliance with all local, state and federal regulations. This is necessary to minimize the probability of primary or secondary poisoning of endangered species utilizing adjacent habitats, and the depletion of prey upon which San Joaquin kit foxes depend.
- n. **BR-10 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, any contractor or employee that inadvertently kills or injures a San Joaquin kit fox or who finds any such animal either dead, injured, or entrapped shall be required to report the incident immediately to the applicant and County. In the event that any observations are made of injured or dead kit fox, the applicant shall immediately notify the U.S. Fish and



1-9

Wildlife Service and the Department by telephone (see contact information below). In addition, formal notification shall be provided in writing within three working days of the finding of any such animal(s). Notification shall include the date, time, location and circumstances of the incident. Any threatened or endangered species found dead or injured shall be turned over immediately to the Department for care, analysis, or disposition.


o. **BR-11 Prior to final inspection, or occupancy, whichever comes first,** should any long internal or perimeter fencing be proposed or installed, the applicant shall do the following to provide for kit fox passage:

i. If a wire strand/pole design is used, the lowest strand shall be no closer to the ground than 12".

ii. If a more solid wire mesh fence is used, 8" x 12" openings near the ground shall be provided every 100 yards.

p. Upon fence installation, the applicant shall notify the County to verify proper installation. Any fencing constructed after issuance of a final permit shall follow the above guidelines.

1-10



PROJECT


Barker Line Adjustment

SUB2004-00124

EXHIBIT B

Vicinity Map





1-1


PROJECT

Barker Line Adjustment  
SUB2004-00124



EXHIBIT B

Land Use Category Map



# PROJECT

**Barker Line Adjustment**  
**SUB2004-00124**

**EXHIBIT B**

# Site Plan #1



1-13




EXHIBIT B  
Site Plan #2



PROJECT  
Barker Line Adjustment  
SUB2004-00124



1-14

**COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO**  
**MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION & NOTICE OF DETERMINATION**

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (JL)

**ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION NO. ED04-419**

**DATE: March 31, 2005**

**PROJECT/ENTITLEMENT:** Barker Lot Line Adjustment SUB2004-00124; COAL 04-0513

**APPLICANT NAME:** Raymond Barker, Jr.

**ADDRESS:** 1355 Santa Ysabel, Paso Robles, CA, 93446

**CONTACT PERSON:** EMK and Associates

**Telephone:** 805-238-5427

**PROPOSED USES/INTENT:** Request by Raymond Barker to adjust the lot lines of 3 existing parcels of 16.86, 0.18 and 0.16 acres each to 3 parcels of 16.74, 0.36 and 0.10 acres each, that will not result in any additional parcels. The site is in the Salinas River planning area.

**LOCATION:** The proposed project is within the Residential Suburban land use category, and is located at 652 11<sup>th</sup> Street, in the community of San Miguel.

**LEAD AGENCY:** County of San Luis Obispo Department of Planning & Building  
County Government Center, Rm. 310  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408-2040

**OTHER POTENTIAL PERMITTING AGENCIES:** California Department of Fish and Game

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:** Additional information pertaining to this environmental determination may be obtained by contacting the above Lead Agency address or (805) 781-5600.

**COUNTY "REQUEST FOR REVIEW" PERIOD ENDS AT .....5 p.m. on April 14, 2005**

**30-DAY PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD begins at the time of public notification**

**Notice of Determination**

**State Clearinghouse No. \_\_\_\_\_**

This is to advise that the San Luis Obispo County \_\_\_\_\_ as ☐ *Lead Agency*  
☐ *Responsible Agency* approved/denied the above described project on \_\_\_\_\_, and has made the following determinations regarding the above described project:

The project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. Mitigation measures were made a condition of the approval of the project. A Statement of Overriding Considerations was not adopted for this project. Findings were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the Negative Declaration with comments and responses and record of project approval is available to the General Public at:

Department of Planning and Building, County of San Luis Obispo,  
County Government Center, Room 310, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408-2040

County of San Luis Obispo

**Signature**

**Project Manager Name**

**Date**

**Public Agency**



1-15

San Luis Obispo County  
Department of Planning and Building  
*environmental division*

**ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEE FORM**

NOTICE: During environmental review, this project required consultation, review or development of mitigation measures by the California Department of Fish and Game. Therefore, the applicants will be assessed user fees pursuant to section 711.4 of the California Fish and Game Code.. The California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21089) provides that this project is not operative, vested or final until the filing fees are paid.

Lead Agency: County of San Luis Obispo

Date: 3/24/05

County: San Luis Obispo

Project No. SUB2004-00124

Project Title: Parcel Map

**Project Applicant**

Name: Raymond Barker

Address: 1355 Santa Ysabel

City, State, Zip Code: Paso Robles, CA 93446

Telephone #: 805-391-3179

Please remit the following amount to the **County Clerk-Recorder:**

( ) Environmental Impact Report	\$ 850.00
(X) Negative Declaration	\$ 1250.00
( ) County Clerk's Fee	\$ <u>25.00</u>

**Total amount due:**

**AMOUNT ENCLOSED: \$1250.00**

Checks should be made out to the "**County of San Luis Obispo**". Payment must be received by the County Clerk, 1144 Monterey Street, Suite A, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408-2040, within two days of project approval.

**NOTE:** Filing of the Notice of Determination for the attached environmental document requires a filing fee in the amount specified above. If the fee is not paid, the Notice of Determination cannot be filed.

1-16



**COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO  
INITIAL STUDY SUMMARY - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

**Project Title & No.** Barker Lot Line Adjustment ED04-00124; SUB2004-00124  
COAL04-051

**ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:** The proposed project could have a "Potentially Significant Impact" for at least one of the environmental factors checked below. Please refer to the attached pages for discussion on mitigation measures or project revisions to either reduce these impacts to less than significant levels or require further study.

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology and Soils           | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural Resources          | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards/Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Circulation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Wastewater                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing          | <input type="checkbox"/> Water                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources              | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services/Utilities   | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use                   |

**DETERMINATION:** (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation, the Environmental Coordinator finds that:

- ☐ The proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☒ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ The proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- ☐ The proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- ☐ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Josh LeBonzar  
Prepared by (Print)

[Signature]  
Signature

3/24/05  
Date

Steven McMaster  
Reviewed by (Print)

[Signature]  
Signature

Ellen Carroll,  
Environmental Coordinator  
(for)

3/24/05  
Date



1-17

### **Project Environmental Analysis**

The County's environmental review process incorporates all of the requirements for completing the Initial Study as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines. The Initial Study includes staff's on-site inspection of the project site and surroundings and a detailed review of the information in the file for the project. In addition, available background information is reviewed for each project. Relevant information regarding soil types and characteristics, geologic information, significant vegetation and/or wildlife resources, water availability, wastewater disposal services, existing land uses and surrounding land use categories and other information relevant to the environmental review process are evaluated for each project. Exhibit A includes the references used, as well as the agencies or groups that were contacted as a part of the Initial Study. The Environmental Division uses the checklist to summarize the results of the research accomplished during the initial environmental review of the project.

Persons, agencies or organizations interested in obtaining more information regarding the environmental review process for a project should contact the County of San Luis Obispo Environmental Division, Rm. 310, County Government Center, San Luis Obispo, CA, 93408-2040 or call (805) 781-5600.

### **A. PROJECT**

**DESCRIPTION:** Request by RAYMOND BARKER for a Lot Line Adjustment to adjust the lot lines between 3 parcels of 16.86, 0.18, and 0.16 acres each. The adjustment will result in 3 parcels of 16.74, 0.36, 0.10 acres each. The project will not result in the creation of any additional parcels. The proposed project is within the Residential Suburban land use category and is located at 652 11th Street in the community of San Miguel. The site is in the Salinas River planning area.

**ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER(S):** 021-401-007, 012, and 021-241-019      **SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT #** 1

### **B. EXISTING SETTING**

**PLANNING AREA:** Salinas River, San Miguel

**LAND USE CATEGORY:** Residential Suburban

**COMBINING DESIGNATION(S):** Flood Hazard

**EXISTING USES:** Residence

**TOPOGRAPHY:** Nearly level to moderately sloping

**VEGETATION:** Grasses , riparian

**PARCEL SIZE:** 17.20 acres

**SURROUNDING LAND USE CATEGORIES AND USES:**

<i>North:</i> Residential Suburban; residential	<i>East:</i> Residential Suburban; undeveloped
<i>South:</i> Residential Single Family; residential	<i>West:</i> Residential Multi-Family; residential

1-18

## C. ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

During the Initial Study process, several issues were identified as having potentially significant environmental effects (see following Initial Study). Those potentially significant items associated with the proposed uses can be minimized to less than significant levels.

### COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

1. AESTHETICS - <i>Will the project:</i>	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Create an aesthetically incompatible site open to public view?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Introduce a use within a scenic view open to public view?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Change the visual character of an area?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Create glare or night lighting, which may affect surrounding areas?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Impact unique geological or physical features?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) <i>Other: _____</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** This project consists of a lot line adjustment between 3 parcels.

**Impact.** No significant visual impacts are expected to occur.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No mitigation measures are necessary.

2. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES - <i>Will the project:</i>	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Convert prime agricultural land to non-agricultural use?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Impair agricultural use of other property or result in conversion to other uses?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Conflict with existing zoning or Williamson Act program?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Other: _____</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The soil types include: (inland) Hanford and Greenfield gravelly sandy loams (2-9%) Metz loamy sand (0-5%) Xerofluvents-Riverwash association (unknown slope)

1-19

As described in the Natural Resource Conservation Service Soil Survey, the "non-irrigated" soil class is "IV" to "VIII" and the "irrigated soil class is "not applicable" to "III".

**Impact.** The project is located in a predominantly non-agricultural area with no agricultural activities occurring on the property or immediate vicinity. No significant impacts to agricultural resources are anticipated.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No mitigation measures are necessary.

3. AIR QUALITY - <i>Will the project:</i>	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Violate any state or federal ambient air quality standard, or exceed air quality emission thresholds as established by County Air Pollution Control District?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Expose any sensitive receptor to substantial air pollutant concentrations?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Create or subject individuals to objectionable odors?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Be inconsistent with the District's Clean Air Plan?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Other:</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The Air Pollution Control District (APCD) has developed the CEQA Air Quality Handbook to evaluate project specific impacts and to help determine if air quality mitigation measures are needed, or if potentially significant impacts could result. To evaluate long-term emissions, cumulative effects, and establish countywide programs to reach acceptable air quality levels, a Clean Air Plan has been adopted (prepared by APCD).

**Impact.** As proposed, the project will result in disturbance of an undetermined future amount. This will result in the creation of construction dust, as well as short- and long-term vehicle emissions. Based on Table 1-1 of the CEQA Air Quality Handbook, the project will result in less than 10 lbs./day of pollutants, which is below thresholds warranting any mitigation. The project is consistent with the general level of development anticipated and projected in the Clean Air Plan. No significant air quality impacts are expected to occur.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No mitigation measures are necessary.

4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - <i>Will the project:</i>	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
--	-------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------

1-20

**4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -**  
***Will the project:***

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <b><i>Result in a loss of unique or special status species or their habitats?</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <b><i>Reduce the extent, diversity or quality of native or other important vegetation?</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <b><i>Impact wetland or riparian habitat?</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <b><i>Introduce barriers to movement of resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, or factors, which could hinder the normal activities of wildlife?</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <b><i>Other:</i></b> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.**

The project site is located within the Carrizo vernal pool region. The project site is located within an area that has identified vernal pool habitat as determined by aerial survey mapping by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The project site is located within an area designated as a critical habitat for the vernal pool fairy shrimp.

The following habitats were observed on the proposed project: Blue Oak Woodland Based on the latest California Diversity database and other biological references, the following species or sensitive habitats were identified:

Plants: Kellogg's Horkelia (*Horkelia cuneata* ssp *sericea*), Shining Navarretia (*Navarretia nigelliformis* ssp *radians*)

Wildlife: San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*) with a mitigation ratio of 4:1 and vernal pool fairy shrimp

Habitats: Carrizo vernal pool region

The vegetation on the site consists mostly grasses and riparian habitat near the Salinas River.

**Impact.** The site was visited by staff and determined to be void of all habitat sans the San Joaquin Kit Fox. A "San Joaquin Kit Fox Habitat Evaluation Form" was prepared by Mike McGovern on January 21, 2005. The evaluation form was reviewed. The evaluation resulted in a score of 76 which requires that all impacts to kit fox habitat be mitigated at a ratio of 3:1 acres conserved for each acre impacted. The project will result in the permanent disturbance of an undetermined amount of future disturbance.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** The applicant will be required to mitigate the loss of future habitat at a 3:1 ratio by one of the following ways: Deposit of funds to an approved in-lieu fee program; provide for the protection of kit foxes in perpetuity through acquisition of fee or conservation easement of suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area; or purchase credits in an approved conservation bank. At this time, there is no approved Conservation Bank that is operational in San Luis Obispo County. If none of the other three alternatives are available, the applicant may enter into a Mitigation Agreement with the Department of Fish and Game, including depositing funds into an escrow account (or other means of

1-21

securing funds acceptable to the Department) which would assure the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management. To prevent inadvertent harm to kit fox, the applicant has agreed to retain a biologist for a pre-construction survey, a pre-construction briefing for contractors, and monitoring activities in addition to implementing cautionary construction measures. These mitigation measures are listed in detail in Exhibit B Mitigation Summary Table.

The implementation of the above measures will mitigate biological impacts to a level of insignificance.

**5. CULTURAL RESOURCES -**  
***Will the project:***

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Disturb pre-historic resources?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Disturb historic resources?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Disturb paleontological resources?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Other: _____</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The project is located in an area historically occupied by the Southern Salinan . No historic structures are present and no paleontological resources are known to exist in the area.

**Impact.** A Phase I (surface) survey was conducted by Robert Gibson on September 17, 2004. No evidence of cultural materials was noted on the property. Impacts to historical or paleontological resources are not expected.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No significant cultural resource impacts are expected to occur, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

**6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -**  
***Will the project:***

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Result in exposure to or production of unstable earth conditions, such as landslides, earthquakes, liquefaction, ground failure, land subsidence or other similar hazards?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Be within a CA Dept. of Mines &amp; Geology Earthquake Fault Zone (formerly Alquist-Priolo)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Result in soil erosion, topographic changes, loss of topsoil or unstable soil conditions from project-related improvements, such as vegetation removal, grading, excavation, or fill?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1-22

## 6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -

*Will the project:*

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
d) <i>Change rates of soil absorption, or amount or direction of surface runoff?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Include structures located on expansive soils?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) <i>Change the drainage patterns where substantial on- or off-site sedimentation/ erosion or flooding may occur?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) <i>Involve activities within the 100-year flood zone?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) <i>Be inconsistent with the goals and policies of the County's Safety Element relating to Geologic and Seismic Hazards?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i) <i>Preclude the future extraction of valuable mineral resources?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j) <i>Other:</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** GEOLOGY - The topography of the project is nearly level to moderately sloping. The area proposed for development is outside of the Geologic Study Area designation. The landslide risk potential is considered low. The liquefaction potential during a ground-shaking event is considered low to high. No active faulting is known to exist on or near the subject property. The project is not within a known area containing serpentine or ultramafic rock or soils.

Any project within the Geologic Study area designation or within a high liquefaction area is subject to the preparation of a geological report per the County's Land Use Ordinance (LUO) section 22.14.070 (c) to evaluate the area's geological stability relating to the proposed use.

**DRAINAGE** – The area proposed for development is within the 100-year Flood Hazard designation. The closest creek (Salinas River) from the proposed development is approximately .10 miles to the east. As described in the Natural Resource Conservation Service Soil Survey, the soil is considered moderate to well drained. For areas where drainage is identified as a potential issue, the LUO (Sec. 22.52.080) includes a provision to prepare a drainage plan to minimize potential drainage impacts. When required, this plan would need to address measures such as: constructing on-site retention or detention basins, or installing surface water flow dissipaters. This plan would also need to show that the increased surface runoff would have no more impacts than that caused by historic flows.

**SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION** -The soil types include: (inland)  
Hanford and Greenfield gravelly sandy loams (2-9%) Metz loamy sand (0-5%)  
Xerofluvents-Riverwash association (slope unknown)

As described in the NRCS Soil Survey, the soil surface is considered to have low to moderate erodibility, and unknown to low shrink-swell characteristics.

When highly erosive conditions exist, a sedimentation and erosion control plan is required (LUO Sec.

1-23

22.52.090) to minimize these impacts. When required, the plan is prepared by a civil engineer to address both temporary and long-term sedimentation and erosion impacts. Projects involving more than one acre of disturbance are subject to the preparation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which focuses on controlling storm water runoff. The Regional Water Quality Control Board is the local extension that monitors this program.

**Impact.** As proposed, the project will result in the disturbance in an undetermined amount of future disturbance.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** There is no evidence that measures above what will already be required by ordinance or codes are needed.

**7. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Will the project:**

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Result in a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (e.g. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation) or exposure of people to hazardous substances?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Interfere with an emergency response or evacuation plan?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Expose people to safety risk associated with airport flight pattern?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Increase fire hazard risk or expose people or structures to high fire hazard conditions?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Create any other health hazard or potential hazard?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) <i>Other: _____</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The project is not located in an area of known hazardous material contamination. The project is not within a high severity risk area for fire. The project is not within the Airport Review area.

**Impact.** The project does not propose the use of hazardous materials. The project does not present a significant fire safety risk. The project is not expected to conflict with any regional evacuation plan.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No significant impacts as a result of hazards or hazardous materials are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

**8. NOISE - Will the project:**

Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
-------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------

1-24

8. NOISE - <i>Will the project:</i>	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Expose people to noise levels that exceed the County Noise Element thresholds?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Generate increases in the ambient noise levels for adjoining areas?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Expose people to severe noise or vibration?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Other:</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The project is not within close proximity of loud noise sources, and will not conflict with any sensitive noise receptors (e.g., residences).

**Impact.** The project is not expected to generate loud noises, nor conflict with the surrounding uses.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No significant noise impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

9. POPULATION/HOUSING - <i>Will the project:</i>	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Induce substantial growth in an area either directly or indirectly (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Displace existing housing or people, requiring construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Create the need for substantial new housing in the area?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Use substantial amount of fuel or energy?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Other:</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting** In its efforts to provide for affordable housing, the county currently administers the Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program and the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program, which provides limited financing to projects relating to affordable housing throughout the county.



1-25

**Impact.** The project will not result in a need for a significant amount of new housing, and will not displace existing housing.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No significant population and housing impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

<b>10. PUBLIC SERVICES/UTILITIES -</b> <i>Will the project have an effect upon, or result in the need for new or altered public services in any of the following areas:</i>	<b>Potentially Significant</b>	<b>Impact can &amp; will be mitigated</b>	<b>Insignificant Impact</b>	<b>Not Applicable</b>
a) <i>Fire protection?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Police protection (e.g., Sheriff, CHP)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Schools?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Roads?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Solid Wastes?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) <i>Other public facilities?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) <i>Other:</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The project area is served by the County Sheriff's Department and CDF/County Fire as the primary emergency responders. The closest CDF fire station is approximately .50 miles to the west. The closest Sheriff substation is in Templeton, which is approximately 7 miles from the proposed project. The project is located in the San Miguel Joint Union Elementary School District.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No impacts are expected as a result of this project thus, no mitigation measures are necessary.

<b>11. RECREATION - Will the project:</b>	<b>Potentially Significant</b>	<b>Impact can &amp; will be mitigated</b>	<b>Insignificant Impact</b>	<b>Not Applicable</b>
a) <i>Increase the use or demand for parks or other recreation opportunities?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Affect the access to trails, parks or other recreation opportunities?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Other</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The County Trails Plan shows that the proposed project does fall within the Salinas River trail system corridor.

**Impact.** A referral was sent to the Department of Parks and Recreation and a response of "no comment" was received. It was determined that the proposed project will not create a significant need for additional park or recreational resources.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No significant recreation impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

1-26

**12. TRANSPORTATION/  
CIRCULATION - Will the project:**

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Increase vehicle trips to local or areawide circulation system?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Reduce existing "Levels of Service" on public roadway(s)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Create unsafe conditions on public roadways (e.g., limited access, design features, sight distance, slow vehicles)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Provide for adequate emergency access?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Result in inadequate parking capacity?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) <i>Result in inadequate internal traffic circulation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) <i>Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., pedestrian access, bus turnouts, bicycle racks, etc.)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) <i>Result in a change in air traffic patterns that may result in substantial safety risks?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i) <i>Other:</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The identified roadway is operating at acceptable levels. Referrals were sent to Public Works/Caltrans. No significant traffic-related concerns were identified.

**Impact.** The proposed project is estimated to generate about 30 trips per day, based on the Institute of Traffic Engineer's manual of 10/unit. This small amount of additional traffic will not result in a significant change to the existing road service or traffic safety levels.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No significant traffic impacts were identified, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

**13. WASTEWATER - Will the  
project:**

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Violate waste discharge requirements or Central Coast Basin Plan criteria for wastewater systems?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1-27

**13. WASTEWATER - Will the project:**

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
b) <i>Change the quality of surface or ground water (e.g., nitrogen-loading, daylighting)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Adversely affect community wastewater service provider?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Other: _____</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting/Impact.** The project proposes to use a community as its means to dispose wastewater.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No impacts to wastewater are anticipated thus no mitigation measures are necessary.

**14. WATER - Will the project:**

	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a) <i>Violate any water quality standards?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Discharge into surface waters or otherwise alter surface water quality (e.g., turbidity, temperature, dissolved oxygen, etc.)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Change the quality of groundwater (e.g., saltwater intrusion, nitrogen-loading, etc.)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Change the quantity or movement of available surface or ground water?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Adversely affect community water service provider?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) <i>Other: _____</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting.** The project proposes to use a community system as its water source.

The topography of the project is nearly level to moderately sloping. The Salinas River is .10 miles away from the proposed project. As described in the NRCS Soil Survey, the soil surface is considered to have low to moderate erodibility.

**Impact.** As proposed, the project will result in the disturbance of an undetermined future amount of area. Based on the project description, as shown below, a reasonable "worst case" indoor water usage would likely be about 3.54 acre feet/year (AFY)

$$3 \text{ residential lots (w/primary (0.85 afy) \& secondary (0.33 afy) X 1lots) = 3.54afy}$$

Source: "City of Santa Barbara Water Demand Factor & Conservation Study "User Guide" (Aug., 1989)

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** Since no potentially significant water quantity or quality impacts were identified, no specific measures above standard requirements have been determined necessary. Standard drainage and erosion control measures will be required for the proposed project and will provide sufficient measures to adequately protect surface water quality.

15. LAND USE - <i>Will the project:</i>	Inconsistent	Potentially Inconsistent	Consistent	Not Applicable
a) <i>Be potentially inconsistent with land use, policy/regulation (e.g., general plan [county land use element and ordinance], local coastal plan, specific plan, Clean Air Plan, etc.) adopted to avoid or mitigate for environmental effects?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) <i>Be potentially inconsistent with any habitat or community conservation plan?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) <i>Be potentially inconsistent with adopted agency environmental plans or policies with jurisdiction over the project?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) <i>Be potentially incompatible with surrounding land uses?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) <i>Other:</i> _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Setting/Impact.** Surrounding uses are identified on Page 2 of the Initial Study. The proposed project was reviewed for consistency with policy and/or regulatory documents relating to the environment and appropriate land use (e.g., County Land Use Ordinance, Local Coastal Plan, etc.). Referrals were sent to outside agencies to review for policy consistencies (e.g., CDF for Fire Code, APCD for Clean Air Plan, etc.). The project was found to be consistent with these documents (refer also to Exhibit A on reference documents used).

The project is not within or adjacent to a Habitat Conservation Plan area. The project is consistent or compatible with the surrounding uses as summarized on page 2 of this Initial Study.

**Mitigation/Conclusion.** No inconsistencies were identified and therefore no additional measures above what will already be required was determined necessary.

16. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE - <i>Will the project:</i>	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
---	-------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------

1-29

- a) **Have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?** ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐
- b) **Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)** ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐
- c) **Have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?** ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

For further information on CEQA or the county's environmental review process, please visit the County's web site at "www.sloplanning.org" under "Environmental Review", or the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System at "http://ceres.ca.gov/topic/env\_law/ ceqa/ guidelines/" for information about the California Environmental Quality Act.

**Exhibit A - Initial Study References and Agency Contacts**

The County Planning or Environmental Division have contacted various agencies for their comments on the proposed project. With respect to the subject application, the following have been contacted (marked with an ☒) and when a response was made, it is either attached or in the application file:

<b><u>Contacted</u></b>	<b><u>Agency</u></b>	<b><u>Response</u></b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	County Public Works Department	<b>In File**</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	County Environmental Health Division	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	County Agricultural Commissioner's Office	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	County Airport Manager	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Airport Land Use Commission	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Air Pollution Control District	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	County Sheriff's Department	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Regional Water Quality Control Board	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	CA Coastal Commission	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	CA Department of Fish and Game	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	CA Department of Forestry	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	CA Department of Transportation	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Community Service District	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other <u>Parks and Recreation</u>	<b>In File**</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other _____	<b>Not Applicable</b>

**\*\* "No comment" or "No concerns"-type responses are usually not attached**

The following checked (☒) reference materials have been used in the environmental review for the proposed project and are hereby incorporated by reference into the Initial Study. The following information is available at the County Planning and Building Department.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project File for the Subject Application	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Salinas River Area Plan and Update EIR
<u>County documents</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Circulation Study
<input type="checkbox"/> Airport Land Use Plans	<u>Other documents</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual Resource Summary Report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaeological Resources Map
<input type="checkbox"/> Building and Construction Ordinance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Area of Critical Concerns Map
<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Areas of Special Biological Importance Map
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Framework for Planning (Coastal & Inland)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> California Natural Species Diversity Database
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General Plan (Inland & Coastal), including all maps & elements; more pertinent elements considered include:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clean Air Plan
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agriculture & Open Space Element	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fire Hazard Severity Map
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Energy Element	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flood Hazard Maps
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environment Plan (Conservation, Historic and Esthetic Elements)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for SLO County
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Element	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional Transportation Plan
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Noise Element	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uniform Fire Code
<input type="checkbox"/> Parks & Recreation Element	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Quality Control Plan (Central Coast Basin – Region 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety Element	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GIS mapping layers (e.g., habitat, streams, contours, etc.)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Land Use Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Real Property Division Ordinance	
<input type="checkbox"/> Trails Plan	
<input type="checkbox"/> Solid Waste Management Plan	

# 1-31

In addition, the following project specific information and/or reference materials have been considered as a part of the Initial Study:

- Assessment for Kit Fox; McGovern, Mike; January 27, 2005
- Phase I Archaeological Assessment; Gibson, Robert O.; September 17, 2004

1-32

## Exhibit B - Mitigation Summary Table

The following notes shall be included on the second sheet of the Final Map; if Certificates of Compliance are the recording instrument instead of a Final Map, the items shall be completed **prior to map recordation or recordation of the Certificates of Compliance**, and the applicant will be required to enter into a mitigation agreement to adhere to the following conditions during future construction.

### Biological Resources

#### San Joaquin Kit Fox

**Future development on each parcel will be required to mitigate impacts to San Joaquin kit fox habitat.** The Kit Fox Evaluation, which was completed for the project on January 27, 2005 by Mike McGovern, indicates the project will impact San Joaquin kit fox habitat. The project earned a score of 76 on the evaluation, which requires that all impacts to kit fox habitat be mitigated at a ratio of 3:1 acres conserved for each acre impacted. The mitigation options identified in BR-1 through BR-11 apply **to the proposed project only**; should the project change, the mitigation obligation may also change, and a reevaluation of the mitigation measures would be required.

**BR-1 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits**, the applicant shall submit evidence to the County of San Luis Obispo, Department of Planning and Building Environmental Resource and Management Division (County) (see contact information below) that states that one or a combination of the following four San Joaquin kit fox mitigation measures has been implemented:

a. Provide for the protection in perpetuity, through acquisition of fee or a conservation easement, suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area (e.g. within the San Luis Obispo County kit fox habitat area, northwest of Highway 58), either on-site or off-site, and provide for a non-wasting endowment to provide for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity. Lands to be conserved shall be subject to the review and approval of the California Department of Fish and Game (Department) and the County.

This mitigation alternative (a.), requires that all aspects of this program must be in place before County permit issuance or initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

b. Deposit funds into an approved in-lieu fee program, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area within San Luis Obispo County, and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

Mitigation alternative (b) above, can be completed by providing funds to The Nature Conservancy (TNC), pursuant to the Voluntary Fee-based Compensatory Mitigation Program (Program). The Program was established in agreement between the Department and TNC to preserve San Joaquin kit fox habitat, and to provide a voluntary mitigation alternative to project proponents who must mitigate the impacts of projects in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The fee, payable to "The Nature Conservancy", would be



1-33

based on the total area of disturbance from project activities multiplied by \$2500 per acre. This fee must be paid after the Department provides written notification identifying your mitigation options but prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

c. Purchase credits in a Department-approved conservation bank, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat within the kit fox corridor area and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

At this time, there is no approved conservation bank that is operational in San Luis Obispo County. A conservation bank is expected to be operational in the near future. Purchase of credits must be completed prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

d. If none of the above measures (a, b, or c) are available, the applicant may enter into a Mitigation Agreement with the Department, including depositing of funds into an escrow account (or other means of securing funds acceptable to the Department) which would ensure the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat within the kit fox corridor area and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring in perpetuity. The Department can provide a draft agreement to review; a signed Mitigation Agreement shall be submitted to the County prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

**BR-2 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits, or conducting any grading associated with map/certificate recordation,** the applicant shall provide evidence to the County that they have retained a qualified biologist acceptable to the County Division of Environmental and Resource Management. The retained biologist shall perform the following monitoring activities:

a. **Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction,** the biologist shall conduct a pre-activity (i.e. pre-construction) survey for known or potential kit fox dens and submit a letter to the County reporting the date the survey was conducted, the survey protocol, survey results, and what measures were necessary (and completed), as applicable, to address any kit fox activity within the project limits.

b. The qualified biologist shall conduct weekly site visits during site-disturbance activities (i.e. grading, diking, excavation, stock piling of dirt or gravel, etc.) that proceed longer than 14 days, for the purpose of monitoring compliance with required Mitigation Measures BR-3 through BR11. Site-disturbance activities lasting up to 14 days do not require weekly monitoring by the biologist unless observations of kit fox or their dens are made on-site or the qualified biologist recommends monitoring for some other reason (see BR-2-c3). When weekly monitoring is required, the biologist shall submit weekly monitoring reports to the County.

c. **Prior to or during project activities,** if any observations are made of San Joaquin kit fox, or any known or potential San Joaquin kit fox dens are discovered within the project limits, the qualified biologist shall re-assess the probability of incidental take (e.g. harm or death) to kit fox. At the time the den is discovered, the qualified biologist shall contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department for guidance on possible additional kit fox protection measures to implement and whether or not a Federal and/or State incidental take permit is

**County of San Luis Obispo, Initial Study**

1-34

needed. If a potential den is encountered during construction, all work shall stop until such time the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Department determine that it is appropriate to resume work.

If incidental take of kit fox during project activities is possible, **before project activities commence**, the applicant must consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department (see contact information below). The results of this consultation may require the applicant to obtain a Federal and/or State permit for incidental take during project activities. The applicant should be aware that the presence of kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens at the project site could result in further delays of project activities.

In addition, the qualified biologist shall implement the following measures:

1. **Within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**, fenced exclusion zones shall be established around all known and potential kit fox dens. Exclusion zone fencing shall consist of either large flagged stakes connected by rope or cord, or survey laths or wooden stakes prominently flagged with survey ribbon. Each exclusion zone shall be roughly circular in configuration with a radius of the following distance measured outward from the den or burrow entrances:

- a) Potential kit fox den: 50 feet
- b) Known kit fox den: 100 feet
- c) Kit fox pupping den: 150 feet

2. All foot and vehicle traffic, as well as all construction activities, including storage of supplies and equipment, shall remain outside of exclusion zones. Exclusion zones shall be maintained until all project-related disturbances have been terminated, and then shall be removed.

3. If kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens are found on site, daily monitoring during ground disturbing activities shall be required by a qualified biologist.

**BR-3 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits, or approval of any improvement plans related to map/certificate recordation**, the applicant shall clearly delineate as a note on the project plans, that: *"Speeds signs of 25 mph maximum (or lower) shall be posted for all construction traffic, to minimize the probability of road mortality of the San Joaquin kit fox."* Speed limit signs shall be installed on the project site **within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**,

In addition, **prior to permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities, or any grading associated with map/certificate recordation**, conditions BR-3 through BR-11 of the Developer's Statement/Conditions of Approval shall be clearly delineated on project plans.

**BR-4 During the site disturbance and/or construction phase**, grading and construction activities after dusk shall be prohibited unless coordinated through the County, during which additional kit fox mitigation measures may be required.

1-35

**BR-5 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permit, and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction,** all personnel associated with the project shall attend a worker education training program, conducted by a qualified biologist, to avoid or reduce impacts on sensitive biological resources (i.e. San Joaquin kit fox). At a minimum, as the program relates to the kit fox, the training shall include the kit fox's life history, all mitigation measures specified by the county, as well as any related biological report(s) prepared for the project. The applicant shall notify the County shortly prior to this meeting. A kit fox fact sheet shall also be developed prior to the training program, and distributed at the training program to all contractors, employers and other personnel involved with the construction of the project.

**BR-6 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase,** to prevent entrapment of the San Joaquin kit fox, all excavation, steep-walled holes or trenches in excess of two feet in depth shall be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials, or provided with one or more escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks. Trenches shall also be inspected for entrapped kit fox each morning prior to onset of field activities and immediately prior to covering with plywood at the end of each working day. Before such holes or trenches are filled, they shall be thoroughly inspected for entrapped kit fox. Any kit fox so discovered shall be allowed to escape before field activities resume, or removed from the trench or hole by a qualified biologist and allowed to escape unimpeded.

**BR-7 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase,** any pipes, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of four inches or greater, stored overnight at the project site shall be thoroughly inspected for trapped San Joaquin kit foxes before the subject pipe is subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way. If during the construction phase a kit fox is discovered inside a pipe, that section of pipe will not be moved, or if necessary, be moved only once to remove it from the path of activity, until the kit fox has escaped.

**BR-8 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase,** all food-related trash items such as wrappers, cans, bottles, and food scraps generated shall be disposed of in closed containers only and regularly removed from the site. Food items may attract San Joaquin kit foxes onto the project site, consequently exposing such animals to increased risk of injury or mortality. No deliberate feeding of wildlife shall be allowed.

**BR-9 Prior to, during, and after the site-disturbance and/or construction phase,** use of pesticides or herbicides shall be in compliance with all local, state and federal regulations. This is necessary to minimize the probability of primary or secondary poisoning of endangered species utilizing adjacent habitats, and the depletion of prey upon which San Joaquin kit foxes depend.

**BR-10 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase,** any contractor or employee that inadvertently kills or injures a San Joaquin kit fox or who finds any such animal either dead, injured, or entrapped shall be required to report the incident immediately to the applicant and County. In the event that any observations are made of injured or dead kit fox, the applicant shall immediately notify the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department by telephone (see contact information below). In addition, formal notification shall be provided in writing within three working days of the finding of any such animal(s). Notification shall include the date, time, location and circumstances of the incident. Any threatened or endangered species found dead or injured shall be turned over immediately to the Department for care, analysis, or disposition.

1-34

**BR-11 Prior to final inspection, or occupancy, whichever comes first,** should any long internal or perimeter fencing be proposed or installed, the applicant shall do the following to provide for kit fox passage:

- a. If a wire strand/pole design is used, the lowest strand shall be no closer to the ground than 12".
- b. If a more solid wire mesh fence is used, 8" x 12" openings near the ground shall be provided every 100 yards.

Upon fence installation, the applicant shall notify the County to verify proper installation. Any fencing constructed after issuance of a final permit shall follow the above guidelines.

March 18, 2005

1-37

**DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT FOR  
Barker Lot Line Adjustment  
ED04-419; SUB2004-00124**

The applicant agrees to incorporate the following measures into the project. These measures become a part of the project description and therefore become a part of the record of action upon which the environmental determination is based. All development activity must occur in strict compliance with the following mitigation measures. These measures shall be perpetual and run with the land. These measures are binding on all successors in interest of the subject property.

**Note:** The items contained in the boxes labeled "Monitoring" describe the County procedures to be used to ensure compliance with the mitigation measures.

The following mitigation measures address impacts that may occur as a result of the development of the project.

**Biological Resources**

**San Joaquin Kit Fox**

**Future development on each parcel will be required to mitigate impacts to San Joaquin kit fox habitat.** The Kit Fox Evaluation, which was completed for the project on January 27, 2005 by Mike McGovern, indicates the project will impact San Joaquin kit fox habitat. The project earned a score of 76 on the evaluation, which requires that all impacts to kit fox habitat be mitigated at a ratio of 3:1 acres conserved for each acre impacted. The mitigation options identified in BR-1 through BR-11 apply **to the proposed project only**; should the project change, the mitigation obligation may also change, and a reevaluation of the mitigation measures would be required.

**BR-1 Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits**, the applicant shall submit evidence to the County of San Luis Obispo, Department of Planning and Building, Environmental and Resource Management Division (County) (see contact information below) that states that one or a combination of the following four San Joaquin kit fox mitigation measures has been implemented:

- a. Provide for the protection in perpetuity, through acquisition of fee or a conservation easement, suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area (e.g. within the San Luis Obispo County kit fox habitat area, northwest of Highway 58), either on-site or off-site, and provide for a non-wasting endowment to provide for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity. Lands to be conserved shall be subject to the review and approval of the California Department of Fish and Game (Department) and the County.

This mitigation alternative (a.), requires that all aspects of this program must be in place before County permit issuance or initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

- b. Deposit funds into an approved in-lieu fee program, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area within San Luis Obispo County, and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

Mitigation alternative (b) above, can be completed by providing funds to The Nature

1-38

Conservancy (TNC), pursuant to the Voluntary Fee-based Compensatory Mitigation Program (Program). The Program was established in agreement between the Department and TNC to preserve San Joaquin kit fox habitat, and to provide a voluntary mitigation alternative to project proponents who must mitigate the impacts of projects in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The fee, payable to "The Nature Conservancy", would be based on the total area of disturbance from project activities multiplied by \$2500 per acre. This fee must be paid after the Department provides written notification identifying your mitigation options but prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

- c. Purchase credits in a Department-approved conservation bank, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat within the kit fox corridor area and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

At this time, there is no approved conservation bank that is operational in San Luis Obispo County. A conservation bank is expected to be operational in the near future. Purchase of credits must be completed prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

- d. If none of the above measures (a, b, or c) are available, the applicant may enter into a Mitigation Agreement with the Department, including depositing of funds into an escrow account (or other means of securing funds acceptable to the Department) which would ensure the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat within the kit fox corridor area and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring in perpetuity. The Department can provide a draft agreement to review; a signed Mitigation Agreement shall be submitted to the County prior to County permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

<p><b>Monitoring:</b> Required prior to issuance of a grading and/or construction permit. Compliance will be verified by the County Division of Environmental and Resource Management.</p>
--

BR-2 **Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits**, the applicant shall provide evidence that they have retained a qualified biologist acceptable to the County. The retained biologist shall perform the following monitoring activities:

- a. **Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**, the biologist shall conduct a pre-activity (i.e. pre-construction) survey for known or potential kit fox dens and submit a letter to the County reporting the date the survey was conducted, the survey protocol, survey results, and what measures were necessary (and completed), as applicable, to address any kit fox activity within the project limits.
- b. The qualified biologist shall conduct weekly site visits during site-disturbance activities (i.e. grading, diking, excavation, stock piling of dirt or gravel, etc.) that proceed longer than 14 days, for the purpose of monitoring compliance with required Mitigation Measures BR-3 through BR11. Site-disturbance activities lasting up to 14 days do not require weekly monitoring by the biologist unless observations of kit fox or their dens are made on-site or the qualified biologist recommends monitoring for some other reason (see BR-2-c3). When weekly monitoring is required, the biologist shall submit weekly monitoring reports to the County.

1-39

- c. **Prior to or during project activities**, if any observations are made of San Joaquin Kit fox, or any known or potential San Joaquin kit fox dens are discovered within the project limits, the qualified biologist shall re-assess the probability of incidental take (e.g. harm or death) to kit fox. At the time a den is discovered, the qualified biologist shall contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department (see contact information below) for guidance on possible additional kit fox protection measures to implement and whether or not a Federal and/or State incidental take permit is needed. If a potential den is encountered during construction, work shall stop until such time the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service/Department determines it is appropriate to resume work.

If incidental take of kit fox during project activities is possible, **before project activities commence**, the applicant must consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department. The results of this consultation may require the applicant to obtain a Federal and/or State permit for incidental take during project activities. The applicant should be aware that the presence of kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens at the project site could result in further delays of project activities.

- d. In addition, the qualified biologist shall implement the following measures:
1. **Within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**, fenced exclusion zones shall be established around all known and potential kit fox dens. Exclusion zone fencing shall consist of either large flagged stakes connected by rope or cord, or survey laths or wooden stakes prominently flagged with survey ribbon. Each exclusion zone shall be roughly circular in configuration with a radius of the following distance measured outward from the den or burrow entrances:
    - a) Potential kit fox den: 50 feet
    - b) Known or active kit fox den: 100 feet
    - c) Kit fox pupping den: 150 feet
  2. All foot and vehicle traffic, as well as all construction activities, including storage of supplies and equipment, shall remain outside of exclusion zones. Exclusion zones shall be maintained until all project-related disturbances have been terminated, and then shall be removed.
  3. If kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens are found on site, daily monitoring during ground disturbing activities shall be required by a qualified biologist.

**Monitoring:** Required prior to issuance of a grading and/or construction permit. Compliance will be verified by the County Division of Environmental and Resource Management .

- BR-3 **Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits**, the applicant shall clearly delineate as a note on the project plans, that: "*Speed signs of 25 mph (or lower) shall be posted for all construction traffic to minimize the probability of road mortality of the San Joaquin kit fox*". Speed limit signs shall be installed on the project site **within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**,

140

In addition, **prior to permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities**, conditions BR-3 through BR-11 of the Developer's Statement/Conditions of Approval shall be clearly delineated on project plans.

- BR-4 **During the site disturbance and/or construction phase**, grading and construction activities after dusk shall be prohibited unless coordinated through the County, during which additional kit fox mitigation measures may be required.
- BR-5 **Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permit and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction**, all personnel associated with the project shall attend a worker education training program, conducted by a qualified biologist, to avoid or reduce impacts on sensitive biological resources (i.e. San Joaquin kit fox). At a minimum, as the program relates to the kit fox, the training shall include the kit fox's life history, all mitigation measures specified by the county, as well as any related biological report(s) prepared for the project. The applicant shall notify the County shortly prior to this meeting. A kit fox fact sheet shall also be developed prior to the training program, and distributed at the training program to all contractors, employers and other personnel involved with the construction of the project.
- BR-6 **During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, to prevent entrapment of the San Joaquin kit fox, all excavation, steep-walled holes or trenches in excess of two feet in depth shall be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials, or provided with one or more escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks. Trenches shall also be inspected for entrapped kit fox each morning prior to onset of field activities and immediately prior to covering with plywood at the end of each working day. Before such holes or trenches are filled, they shall be thoroughly inspected for entrapped kit fox. Any kit fox so discovered shall be allowed to escape before field activities resume, or removed from the trench or hole by a qualified biologist and allowed to escape unimpeded.
- BR-7 **During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, any pipes, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of four inches or greater, stored overnight at the project site shall be thoroughly inspected for trapped San Joaquin kit foxes before the subject pipe is subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way. If during the construction phase a kit fox is discovered inside a pipe, that section of pipe will not be moved, or if necessary, be moved only once to remove it from the path of activity, until the kit fox has escaped.
- BR-8 **During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, all food-related trash items such as wrappers, cans, bottles, and food scraps generated shall be disposed of in closed containers only and regularly removed from the site. Food items may attract San Joaquin kit foxes onto the project site, consequently exposing such animals to increased risk of injury or mortality. No deliberate feeding of wildlife shall be allowed.
- BR-9 **Prior to, during and after the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, use of pesticides or herbicides shall be in compliance with all local, State and Federal regulations. This is necessary to minimize the probability of primary or secondary poisoning of endangered species utilizing adjacent habitats, and the depletion of prey upon which San Joaquin kit foxes depend.
- BR-10 **During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase**, any contractor or employee that inadvertently kills or injures a San Joaquin kit fox or who finds any such animal



1-41

either dead, injured, or entrapped shall be required to report the incident immediately to the applicant and County. In the event that any observations are made of injured or dead kit fox, the applicant shall immediately notify the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Department by telephone (see contact information below). In addition, formal notification shall be provided in writing within three working days of the finding of any such animal(s). Notification shall include the date, time, location and circumstances of the incident. Any threatened or endangered species found dead or injured shall be turned over immediately to Department for care, analysis, or disposition.

**BR-11 Prior to final inspection, or occupancy, whichever comes first,** should any long internal or perimeter fencing be proposed or installed, the applicant shall do the following to provide for kit fox passage:

- a. If a wire strand/pole design is used, the lowest strand shall be no closer to the ground than 12".
- b. If a more solid wire mesh fence is used, 8" x 12" openings near the ground shall be provided every 100 yards.

Upon fence installation, the applicant shall notify the County to verify proper installation. Any fencing constructed after issuance of a final permit shall follow the above guidelines.

**Monitoring (San Joaquin Kit Fox Measures BR-3 – BR-11):** Compliance will be verified by the County Division of Environmental and Resource Management in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game. As applicable, each of these measures shall be included on construction plans.

**Contact Information**

California Department of Fish and Game  
Central Coast Region  
P.O. Box 47  
Yountville, CA 94599  
(805) 528-8670  
(805) 772-4318

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Ventura Field Office  
2493 Portola Road, Suite B  
Ventura, CA 93003  
(805) 644-1766

County of San Luis Obispo  
Department of Planning and Building  
Division of Environmental and Resource Management  
County Government Center, Room 310  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408  
ATTN: Ms. Julie Eliason

The applicant understands that any changes made to the project description subsequent to this environmental determination must be reviewed by the Environmental Coordinator and may require a new environmental determination for the project. By signing this agreement, the owner(s) agrees to and accepts the incorporation of the above measures into the proposed project description.

Raymond C Barker  
Signature of Owner(s)

3/23/05  
Date

RAYMOND C BARKER  
Name (Print)

142

SHED

15960.49 sq.ft.

HOUSE - B.85' N92°48'04"  
PARCEL SHED 171.03'

4456.45 sq. ft

TOP OF BANK

610

<input type="radio"/> PP	POWER/UTILITY POLE
<input type="radio"/> CO	CLEAN-OUT
<input type="checkbox"/> WM	WATER METER (SAN MIGUEL COMM SERVICES DIST)


**COAL 04-0513**

BEING AN ADJUSTMENT OF THE LINES  
BETWEEN THREE PARCELS LOCATED IN  
SECTION 16, T 25 S R 12 E.  
IN THE TOWN OF SAN MIGUEL.

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

NOTES:  
1. BOUNDARY DATA PER DOC. NO. 2001-003500

2. CONTOURS FROM SURVEY. CONTOUR  
INTERVAL 2'




**EMK & Associates, Inc.**  
ENGINEERING • PLANNING • SURVEYING  
1005 RAILROAD ST. PASO ROBLES, CA. (805)238-5427

ENGINEERING • PLANNING • SURVEYING  
1005 RAILROAD ST. PASO ROBLES, CA (805) 738-5437

HE:\2004\04-488 BARKER-CRISMAN\04-488 Pot's TENTATIVE L.L.dwg 09/27/2004 12:03:28 PM PLOT

JOB NO. 04-4B6 SHEET 2 OF 2 SHEETS  
CUESTA TILE INSURANCE COMPANY # 809065



VICINITY MAP  
N.T.S.

**EXISTING PARCELS**

PARCEL PER APN 021-401-007 (BARKER) 0.16 AC. ±  
 PARCEL PER APN 021-401-012 (BARKER) 0.18 AC. ±  
 PARCEL PER APN 021-241-019 (CHRISMAN) 16.86 AC. ±

**PROPOSED PARCELS**

PARCEL 1 16.74 AC. ±  
 PARCEL 2 0.36 AC. ±  
 PARCEL 3 0.10 AC. ±  
 TOTAL 17.20 AC. ±

**OWNER/APPLICANT**

RAYMOND BARKER JR.  
 1255 SANTA YSABEL AVE.  
 PASO ROBLES, CA 93446  
 PHONE NO. 805-237-2221  
 SECOND LANDOWNER:  
 JOHN CHRISMAN  
 P O BOX 727  
 SAN MIGUEL, CA 93451-0727

**A.P.N.**

021-401-007, 021-401-012 & 021-401-024

**ZONING DESIGNATION**

MS

**TENTATIVE LOT LINE  
ADJUSTMENT MAP**

**COAL 04-0513**


BEING AN ADJUSTMENT OF THE LINES  
 BETWEEN THREE PARCELS LOCATED IN  
 SECTION 16, T 25 S, R 12 E,  
 BEING WITHIN THE TOWN OF SAN MIGUEL  
 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

**EMK & Associates, Inc.**

ENGINEERING • PLANNING • SURVEYING  
 1005 RAILROAD ST., PASO ROBLES, CA. (805)238-5427



- NOTES:**
1. BOUNDARY DATA PER DOC. NO. 2001-003500
  2. CONTOURS FROM SURVEY, CONTOUR INTERVAL, 2'.



GRAPHIC SCALE  
(IN FEET)  
1 inch = 60 ft.

**VOLUNTARY MERGER**  
 PER DOC. NO. 2001-003500

APN 021-241-019

**PARCEL 1**  
 16.74 ACRES -

**PARCEL 2**  
 16.74 ACRES -

**PARCEL 3**  
 16.74 ACRES -

**PARCEL 4**  
 16.74 ACRES -

**PARCEL 5**  
 16.74 ACRES -

**PARCEL 6**  
 16.74 ACRES -

**PARCEL 7**  
 16.74 ACRES -

CHRISMAN HOUSE

25' ACCESS AND UTILITY EASEMENT PER DOC. NO. 2003-142518

APN 021-401-009

APN 021-271-029

AREA NOT PART OF SURVEY

EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE AND INCIDENTAL PURPOSES PER DOC. NO. 2003-010340

OLD LINES TO BE MOVED TYPICAL

PARCEL PER 449/0R/91 (PARCEL 2)

PARCEL PER 386/0R/293 (PARCEL 1)

APN 021-241-019

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

HOUSE

